- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS
- 2 AND POSITION WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH
- 3 CAROLINA?
- 4 A. My name is Sharon G. Scott. My business address is 101
- 5 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am
- 6 employed by the Public Service Commission of South
- 7 Carolina as an Auditor IV.
- 8 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND YOUR
- 9 **EXPERIENCE?**
- 10 A. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business
- 11 Administration, with a major in Accounting from the
- 12 University of South Carolina in May 1983 and a MBA
- 13 Degree from Webster University in May 2000. I was
- 14 employed by this Commission in July 1983, and have
- 15 participated in cases involving gas, electric,
- 16 telephone, and water and wastewater utilities. I have
- 17 21 years of auditing experience with the Commission.
- 18 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING SOUTH
- 19 CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY?
- 20 A. The purpose of my testimony is to set forth in summary
- 21 form Staff's findings and recommendations resulting from
- our examination concerning this docket. These findings

- 1 and recommendations are set forth in the report of the
- 2 Audit Department with attached exhibits.
- 3 Q. I SHOW YOU THIS REPORT WITH ITS ATTACHED EXHIBITS,
- 4 ENTITLED "REPORT OF THE AUDIT DEPARTMENT, THE PUBLIC
- 5 SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA, DOCKET NO. 2004-
- 6 178-E, SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY", DID YOU
- 7 AND THE AUDIT STAFF PREPARE THIS DOCUMENT?
- 8 A. Yes, the report was prepared by other members of the
- 9 Audit Department Staff and me.
- 10 Q. (MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION). WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE
- 11 THE CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT?
- 12 A. As outlined in the report's index, pages 1 through 5
- 13 contain the Staff's analysis of the report, with the
- 14 remaining pages 6 through 29 containing the Audit
- 15 Staff's supporting exhibits. The major part of my
- 16 testimony will refer to Audit Exhibit A, entitled
- 17 Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rates of Return.
- 18 Such Exhibit, as do most other Audit Staff exhibits,
- 19 utilizes a test year ending March 31, 2004.
- 20 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER EXPLANATION OF EXHIBIT A?
- 21 A. Yes, I do. The Staff prepared the exhibit in compliance
- 22 with the Commission's standard procedures as to

1 calculating income and rate base for electric utilities. 2 A brief description of Exhibit A is as follows: (1): 3 Presents the Company's total electric Column 4 operations per the Company's filing as of the end of the test period under review. Special emphasis is placed on 5 6 net operating income for return, rate base and rate of 7 return on rate base. Column (2): Presents total electric accounting and pro 8 forma adjustments per the Staff for the period under 9 10 review. 11 This column details the total electric (3): 12 operations of the Company as adjusted by the Staff prior 13 to the effect of the proposed increase. 14 Column (4): This column presents the Company's retail electric operations per the Company's cost of service 15 16 study used in the Company's filing for the test year under review, March 31, 2004. 17 18 Column (5): The Staff's retail accounting and pro forma 19 detailed in this adiustments are column. Such 20 adjustments were made by the Staff in order to show both 21 a normalized and going forward level of the Company's 22 per book retail operations during the test year. These

- 1 adjustments are described in Staff's Audit Exhibit A-1
- of the Staff's report.
- 3 Column (6): The Staff's computation of the Company's
- 4 retail normalized test year after giving effect for the
- 5 accounting and pro forma adjustments is detailed in this
- 6 column.
- 7 Column (7): This column presents the proposed increase
- 8 in retail rates and charges as computed by the
- 9 Commission's Utilities Department Staff and the
- 10 resulting adjustments to expenses.
- 11 Column (8): This column presents the Company's
- 12 normalized test year retail operations after including
- 13 the proposed increase.
- 14 O. WOULD YOU PLEASE ELABORATE ON THE CALCULATIONS IN
- 15 EXHIBIT A?
- 16 A. As shown in column (1), using total electric operations
- 17 per the Company's filing, the Staff computed net
- operating income for return of \$327,221,000. The Staff
- computed a rate base of \$4,014,886,000 for the Company's
- 20 total electric operations. The Staff computed the rate
- of return on rate base to be 8.15% on total electric
- operations.
- 23 Shown in Column (2) are the accounting and pro forma

1 adjustments proposed by the Staff on a total electric 2 basis. 3 Column (3) presents the Company's as adjusted total 4 electric operations. The Staff computed net operating income for return of \$287,787,000. The Staff computed 5 6 the adjusted total electric rate base be to 7 \$3,788,632,000. The Staff computed the as adjusted rate 8 of return on such rate base to be 7.60%. 9 In Column (4) the Audit Staff computed the Company's 10 total retail operations based on the cost of service 11 study recommended by the Staff's Utilities Department. 12 Net operating income for return was computed to be 13 \$319,628,000 on a retail basis and retail rate base was 14 computed to be \$3,831,455,000. The Staff computed the 15 return on retail rate base to be 8.34% prior to making 16 accounting and pro forma adjustments. Column (5) presents the Staff's accounting and pro forma 17 18 adjustments on a retail basis. Such accounting and pro 19 forma adjustments are described in Staff's Audit Exhibit 20 A-1. 21 Column (6) presents the Company's retail operations as 22 adjusted by the Staff. The Staff calculated net 23 operating income for return of \$282,344,000 on an as

- 1 adjusted retail basis. The as adjusted retail rate base 2 was computed to be \$3,618,246,000. The Staff computed 3 the as adjusted rate of return on retail rate base to be 7.80%. 4 Column (7) presents the Staff's computation of 5 6 Company's net proposed increase of \$81,192,000. 7 increase consists of \$92,114,000 for the proposed 8 increase and an adjustment to reduce the proposed 9 increase by \$10,922,000 for fixed capacity charges that 10 the Company will incur for gas service to the Jasper 11 facility. 12 Column (8) presents the Company's retail operations as 13 adjusted to normalize the test year and on a pro forma 14 basis after the effect of the proposed increase in 15 retail rates. The Staff computed retail net operating income for return of \$339,710,000 and a retail rate base 16 17 of \$3,618,246,000. The Staff computed a rate of return 18 on retail rate base of 9.39% after the effect of the 19 proposed increase. 20 WOULD YOU PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE OTHER ACCOUNTING EXHIBITS IN THE STAFF'S REPORT? 21

- 22 Audit Exhibit A-1 details the total electric company and
- 23 the retail electric accounting and pro forma adjustments

1 made by the Staff and/or the Company. Company and Staff 2 adjustments are compared in this exhibit with a brief 3 description of each adjustment. 4 Audit Exhibit A-2 details the Staff's computation of the The Staff performed 5 Company's customer growth. 6 growth calculations using the formula method as detailed 7 in Exhibit A-2. The Staff used end of period customers 8 as of May 31, 2004 in the computation because the 9 Company made accounting and pro forma adjustments beyond 10 the end of the test year. 11 Audit Exhibit A-3 details the Staff's computation of 12 total working capital using the formula method. The 13 Staff considers the formula method to be appropriate for 14 use in this case. The Staff's formula uses a forty-five 15 day cash working capital allowance. The 45 days is 16 typical of an electric utility's billing and collection 17 cycle. The formula approach provides a reasonable and 18 unbiased estimate of the Company's cash working capital 19 Also, the formula approach is justified requirements. 20 in this case because it is simple to use and less costly 21 than a lead lag study.

1	Audit Exhibit A-4 provides a schedule of deferred debits
2	and credits that are included as a component of rate
3	base.
4	Audit Exhibit A-5 provides a calculation of the
5	Company's return on common equity on a retail basis
6	before and after the effect of the proposed increase.
7	The rate base, as shown on Exhibit A, is allocated among
8	the various classes of debt and equity, excluding short-
9	term debt, according to their respective ratios as
10	computed using the Company's total capital structure as
11	of August 31, 2004. The amount of retail as adjusted net
12	income for return needed to cover embedded cost rates on
13	long-term debt of 6.56% was computed by Staff to be
14	\$111,463,000. The amount of as adjusted retail income
15	for return needed to cover embedded cost rates on
16	preferred stock of 6.40% was computed by the Staff to be
17	\$6,322,000. The as adjusted retail rate of return on
18	common equity was computed by the Staff to be 9.04%. The
19	as adjusted overall rate of return was computed to be
20	7.80%. Such overall return equals the rate of return on
21	rate base shown on Staff's Exhibit A. After the proposed
22	retail increase, the return on common equity was
23	computed to be 12.19%. The overall rate of return was

- 1 computed to be 9.39% after the effects of the proposed
- increase. Such overall return equals the rate of return
- 3 shown on Staff's Exhibit A after the proposed retail
- 4 rate increase.
- 5 Audit Exhibit A-6 gives a reconciliation of the
- differences between the Company's filing and the Staff's
- 7 presentation of financial data leading to net operating
- 8 income for return.
- 9 Audit Exhibit A-7 gives a reconciliation of the rate
- 10 base contained in the Company's application to the rate
- 11 base computed by the Staff on Exhibit A.
- 12 Audit Exhibit A-8 provides a listing of real estate
- transactions for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- 14 Audit Exhibit A-9 presents the Company's condensed
- 15 consolidated income statement for the test year ended
- 16 March 31, 2004.
- 17 Audit Exhibit A-10 presents the Company's condensed
- 18 consolidated balance sheet as of the test year ended
- 19 March 31, 2004.
- 20 Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ACCOUNTING AND PRO FORMA
- 21 ADJUSTMENTS IN AUDIT EXHIBIT A-1?
- 22 A. Yes, the adjustments marked with an (A) are the
- 23 responsibility of the Audit Department and the ones

marked with a (U) are the responsibility of the
Utilities Department. The adjustments that contain both
an (A) and a (U) denote partial responsibility of both
departments. My testimony will address those adjustments
designated as (A) that differ from the Company's
adjustments. The Audit Staff computed each adjustment on
a total company basis and the Utilities Department Staff
provided the retail amount for use in Audit Exhibit A-1.
Adjustment Number 1 - The Staff noticed that the
Company's proposal included increasing total electric
gross revenue by \$30,099,357 to annualize North Carolina
Municipal Electric Membership Corporation (NCEMC)
contracts. The Utilities Department reviewed the revenue
adjustment. The Audit Staff is proposing to increase
other taxes by \$144,808 on a total electric company
basis to include the gross receipts taxes applicable to
such revenue. The Staff's Utilities Department computed
the electric retail amount of the adjustment to other
taxes to be \$139,000.
Adjustment Number 2 - The Staff agreed with the Company
that an adjustment should be made to amortize over three
years the fuel component of purchased power that has not
been recovered through the fuel adjustment clause. The

1	Staff is proposing to allocate the total amount of the
2	adjustment to the Company's retail operations. The
3	adjustment is made in accordance with the stipulation
4	approved by the Commission in Docket No. 2004-02-E.
5	Adjustment Number 5 - The Utilities Department witness,
6	Mr. Randy Watts, will address the Staff's adjustment to
7	annualize turbine maintenance costs.
8	Adjustment Number 6- The Company and Staff propose to
9	increase operating and maintenance expenses for the
10	costs associated with the use of ammonia in three new
11	Selective Catalytic Reactor Units. The ozone season for
12	the first year was from May 31, 2003 to September 30,
13	2003. Thereafter, the ozone season will be from May 1
14	to September 30. The Staff annualized actual ammonia
15	expenses for this year's 4-month ozone season over 5
16	months to reflect the length of the future ozone season.
17	Staff's adjustment totaled \$1,152,549 on a total
18	electric basis. The Utilities Department computed the
19	retail electric amount to be \$1,080,000.
20	Adjustment Number 7 - The Staff agreed with the Company
21	on the basic wage and employee benefits increase of
22	\$6,511,153 on a total electric company basis. The
23	Staff's adjustment to other taxes removed payroll taxes

1 that had been computed on amounts above the FICA base. Staff's computation of other taxes amounted to 2 3 \$421,822 on a total electric company basis. The Staff's 4 Utilities Department determined the allocation to retail electric operations to be an increase to other taxes of 5 6 \$405,000. 7 Adjustment Number 9 - The Company estimated an increase 8 to the expense for Post Employment Benefits Other Than 9 Pensions based on information obtained from 10 actuaries. The Staff requested that the Company obtain 11 an updated actuarial study to support its adjustment. 12 The Company's actuary supplied an updated actuarial 13 study conducted as of January 1, 2004 that supported an 14 amount higher than the Company's adjustment. The Staff 15 used the amount from the actuarial study in arriving at 16 an adjustment to increase Operating and Maintenance (O&M) expenses by \$1,507,881 on a total electric company 17 18 The Staff computed the reduction to rate base to 19 be \$931,117 on a total electric basis. The Staff's 20 supplied Utilities Department а retail electric 21 adjustment to O&M expenses of \$1,438,000 and a retail 22 electric adjustment to rate base of (\$894,000).

1	Adjustment Number 11 - In adjustment number 11, the
2	Company proposed to amortize deferred costs associated
3	with its long term disability plan over a five year
4	period. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)
5	statement number 112 requires that a liability be
6	recognized for the deferred expenses associated with
7	this cost. The Company booked a liability of \$8,280,470
8	on a total electric basis for such deferred expenses.
9	The Company proposed to amortize the liability over a
10	five year period by recognizing a total electric expense
11	of \$1,656,094 in the test year. The Staff traced the
12	amount of the liability to the Company's books and
13	records during the audit. The Staff proposes to amortize
14	the liability over nine (9) years which represents the
15	average amount of time a participant in the Long Term
16	Disability plan would receive benefits. Therefore, the
17	Staff proposes to increase O&M expenses by \$920,052 on a
18	total electric basis for the test year. The Utilities
19	Department computed the retail electric amount to be
20	\$877,000.
21	Adjustment Number 13 - The Staff agreed with the Company
22	that the adjustment to lower rate base and expenses
23	associated with employee clubs needed to be made.

1	However, The Staff found two projects in completed
2	construction work not classified that were for employee
3	clubs. Staff lowered plant in service by an additional
4	\$690,557 on a total electric company basis to remove
5	such projects from rate base. The Staff removed a total
6	of \$3,809,000 on a total Company basis. The Staff's
7	Utilities Department provided the additional retail
8	electric amount of \$663,211. The Staff removed a total
9	of \$3,658,000 on a retail electric basis.
10	Adjustment Number 15 - The Company proposed an
11	adjustment to increase total electric plant in service
12	by \$75,281,937 to reflect the May 31, 2004 balance. The
13	Staff agreed with the concept of the adjustment because
14	the Staff considered it to be a known and measurable
15	change. Both the Staff and the Company are proposing to
16	update Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) to reflect
17	balances at May 31, 2004. The Staff recommends that the
18	Company not be allowed to continue to accrue an
19	allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) on
20	CWIP projects at the level that is included in rate base
21	as a result of this adjustment. It is necessary to cease
22	the accrual of AFUDC because the Company will now be

1	earning a return on the level of CWIP that is included
2	in rate base.
3	Adjustment Number 16 - The Company estimated the rate
4	base and expense levels that it believes will be
5	incurred in order to comply with new North American
6	Electric Reliability (NERC) standards. The Audit Staff
7	could not verify the adjustment because it was based on
8	estimated amounts. The Staff verified actual costs to
9	date consisting of \$12,156 on a total electric basis in
10	the construction work in progress (CWIP) account. The
11	Staff proposes to include that amount in rate base. The
12	Utilities Department provided the retail electric amount
13	of \$11,666.
14	Adjustment Number 17 - The Staff and the Company both
15	propose to annualize depreciation expense and adjust the
16	depreciation reserve based on plant in service at May
17	31, 2004 and currently approved depreciation rates.
18	However, Staff removed plant for Employees Clubs and
19	excluded plant for NERC standards before computing
20	annualized depreciation expense. The Utilities
21	Department reviewed the depreciation rates that the
22	Company proposed to use in this adjustment. The Staff
23	computed a depreciation expense adjustment of

1	\$7,437,535. The Utilities Department provided the
2	retail electric amount of \$7,116,000.
3	Adjustment Number 18 - The Staff and the Company both
4	propose to increase depreciation expense and
5	depreciation reserves for a new depreciation study based
6	on plant in service at May 31, 2004. However, Staff
7	removed plant for Employees Clubs and excluded plant for
8	NERC standards before computing annualized depreciation
9	expense using the rates from the new study. The
10	Utilities Department reviewed the new depreciation rates
11	that the Company proposed to use in this adjustment.
12	The Staff's Depreciation Expense adjustment for the new
13	Depreciation study amounted to \$12,222,912. The
14	Utilities Department provided the retail electric amount
15	of \$11,420,000.
16	Adjustment Number 19 - The Company proposes to increase
17	property taxes by \$5,501,000 on a total company basis
18	using plant additions. The proposed retail amount
19	increased property taxes by \$5,280,000. The Staff
20	annualized property taxes based on as adjusted net plant
21	in service which did not include plant for Employee
22	Clubs and NERC standards. The property taxes for the
23	Jasper plant were computed in a separate adjustment. The

1	Staff increased property tax expense by \$5,444,576 on a
2	total company basis. The Utilities Department provided
3	the retail electric amount of \$5,226,000.
4	Adjustment Number 20 - The Staff and Company propose to
5	adjust for the effects of the Jasper plant starting
6	operations in May 2004. The Staff annualized Other
7	Operating and Maintenance expenses and Taxes Other Than
8	Income based on actual expenses from May 2004 through
9	September 2004. Staff annualized total actual expenses
10	for a total company adjustment of \$4,336,549 for Other
11	Operating Expenses and added \$158,216 for Taxes Other
12	Than Income. The retail amount was computed by the
13	Utilities Department to be \$4,090,000 for Other
14	Operating Expenses and \$5,126,000 for Taxes Other Than
15	Income. The Staff has no differences with the Company
16	for Fuel Costs, Plant in Service, CWIP, Depreciation and
17	Accumulated Depreciation. However, the Staff recommends
18	that the Company not be allowed to continue to accrue an
19	allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) on
20	the Jasper amounts and any other CWIP projects at the
21	level included in rate base as a result of this
22	proceeding. It is necessary to cease the accrual of

1	AFUDC because the Company will now be earning a return
2	on the CWIP that is included in rate base.
3	Adjustment Number 22 - The Company originally proposed
4	an increase of \$23,349,940 to Materials and Supplies
5	Inventory to increase the value of coal inventory to
6	reflect current market prices and normal inventory
7	levels. The Company made a correction after the filing,
8	proposing an increase of \$13,256,666. The Staff agrees
9	with the proposed increase to Materials and Supplies
10	Inventory of \$13,256,666. The Utilities Department
11	provided the retail electric amount of \$12,339,000.
12	Adjustment Number 23 - The Company is proposing to
13	amortize its total project costs in the GridSouth
14	Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) over a five-
15	year period. The Staff examined \$14,118,142 in costs
16	associated with the Company's investment in the
17	GridSouth RTO as of March 2004. The Company's costs
18	include company labor, the pensions, benefits and taxes
19	associated with such labor, outside services, travel,
20	meals, interest, etc. The Company has also paid amounts
21	to Duke Electric Transmission to true up funding for the
22	RTO. The Staff proposes to amortize the total amount
23	invested by the Company in the RTO over a five-year

1	period. The Staff recommends excluding interest expense
2	from the bills that the Staff examined. Interest expense
3	amounted to \$527,511 on a total company basis. The
4	Staff's adjustment amortizes \$13,590,631 (\$14,118,142
5	minus \$527,511) over five years for an increase to O&M
6	expense of \$2,718,126 on a total electric basis. The
7	Company proposed to include the average unamortized
8	investment balance in the RTO in rate base. The Staff
9	does not propose to include the unamortized balance in
10	Rate Base resulting in a sharing of the GridSouth RTO
11	costs between the ratepayer and stockholders. The
12	Utilities Department computed the retail electric
13	adjustment to be \$2,546,000.
14	Adjustment Number 24 - This adjustment was made to keep
15	the cash working capital formula of one-eighth of O&M
16	expenses on a pure per books basis by recognizing
17	corrections to the books. The one-eighth formula gives
18	the Company 45 days of cash working capital. The 45 days
19	is typical of an electric utility's billing and
20	collection cycle. The formula approach provides a
21	reasonable and unbiased estimate of the Company's cash
22	working capital requirements. Also, the formula approach
23	is justified in this case because it is simple to use

1	and less costly than a lead lag study. The Stall's
2	adjustment decreases total company working capital by
3	\$1,098,000 on a total Company basis. The Utilities
4	Department provided the decrease to the retail electric
5	amount of \$1,038,000.
6	Adjustment Number 25 - The Staff made an adjustment to
7	income taxes for interest synchronization. The Staff
8	limited its interest deduction for income tax purposes
9	to the amount associated with long-term debt based on
10	the rate base, capital structure and embedded cost rates
11	contained on Staff's Exhibit A-5. The adjustment will
12	vary with changes in rate base, capital structure,
13	and/or embedded cost of long-term debt rates. The
14	Staff's adjustment increases income tax expense by
15	\$2,317,000 on a total company basis. The Utilities
16	Department provided the retail electric amount of
17	\$2,218,000.
18	Adjustment Number 26 - The Staff is proposing to
19	eliminate O&M expenses considered to be non-allowable
20	for ratemaking purposes. Such expenses include
21	institutional and goodwill advertising, civic club dues,
22	donations, service awards, employee newsletters, one-
23	half of Chamber of Commerce dues and expenses,

1 sponsorships, and other miscellaneous items that the 2 Staff does not consider to be necessary for ratemaking 3 purposes. The Staff's adjustment lowers O&M expenses by 4 \$503,406 on a total company basis. The retail amount was 5 computed by the Utilities Department to be \$487,000. 6 Adjustment Number 27 -The Staff is proposing to 7 annualize interest on customer deposits. The Staff used 8 the customer deposits balance of \$19,881,605 (retail 9 electric portion) times the Commission-approved interest 10 rate of 3.50%, effective January 1, 2004, to arrive at 11 an annualized interest amount of \$695,856. The per books 12 balance of \$1,303,130 (retail electric portion) was 13 subtracted from the computed amount to arrive at Staff's 14 adjustment of (\$607,274). The Staff decreased interest 15 on customer deposits in cost of service and increased customer deposits by \$607,274 in rate base. 16 17 Adjustment Number 28 - The Staff is proposing to reduce 18 rate base for the accrued interest on customer deposits 19 of \$1,582,143 which is cost-free capital to the Company. 20 The above adjustment to increase rate base by \$607,274 21 the offset to this adjustment which results in is reducing accrued interest to reflect the Commission-22 23 approved interest rate of 3.5%.

1	Adjustment Number 29 - The Staff is proposing to remove
2	unclaimed funds from rate base in the amount of \$4,123
3	on a total company basis. Unclaimed funds represent
4	amounts owed to customers, unclaimed pay checks,
5	unclaimed deposits, etc. The Staff recommends that such
6	funds be treated as a form of cost free capital to the
7	utility.
8	Adjustment Number 30 - The Staff proposes to remove the
9	cash working capital component associated with Genco
10	fuel from rate base. The Staff found that the bill from
11	Genco to the Company for purchased power contains a cash
12	working capital component. The Staff lowered the cash
13	component of total working capital by \$7,683,000 on a
14	total company basis. The Utilities Department provided
15	the retail electric amount of \$7,265,000.
16	Adjustment Number 31 - The Staff is proposing to adjust
17	test year moving expenses to reflect a 5-year average
18	amount. Staff averaged moving expenses from 1999 to
19	2003 for a 5-year average amount of \$179,712. Staff
20	subtracted this amount from the test year amount of
21	\$443,855 for an adjustment of \$264,143 on a total
22	Company basis. The Utilities Department provided the
23	retail electric amount of \$253,000.

1	<u>Adjustment Number 32 -</u> The Staff proposes to remove
2	Officer's bonuses and salary increases from test year
3	expenses. Staff removed bonuses of \$6,549,083 and salary
4	increases of \$221,547 for a total Company amount of
5	\$6,770,630. Staff removed \$10,970 for FICA taxes. The
6	Utilities Department provided the retail electric amount
7	of \$6,503,000 for bonuses and salary increases and
8	11,000 for FICA Taxes. There are a few reasons for
9	removing officer pay increases and officer incentive
10	compensation payments for ratemaking purposes. (1) The
11	Commission has ruled in several past cases that these
12	payments should not be allowed for ratemaking purposes.
13	(2) Officer incentive compensation payments are possibly
14	non-recurring items depending on whether or not certain
15	goals are met by the Company and/or the recipient. (3)
16	The adjustment prevents utilities from providing
17	officers with large wage increases or incentive
18	compensation payments to help justify rate relief or
19	prevent rate reductions. (4) The adjustment promotes a
20	sharing of test year salaries and wages between the
21	ratepayer and the shareholder. In this particular case,
22	officer pay increases totaled \$221,547 and officer
23	incentive compensation payments totaled \$6,549,083 on a

total electric company basis for the test period. Total
per Company officer incentive compensation payments
averaged \$1,045,458 per year over the past five calendar
years. The electric portion was not available. The
Company did not pay out officer incentive compensation
payments in the year 2002 which demonstrates the
possibility of them being non-recurring items. In Docket
No. 92-619-E, Order No. 93-465, dated June 7, 1993, the
Commission disallowed both officer pay increases and
officer incentive compensation plan payments. In Docket
No. 95-1000-E, Order No. 96-15, the Staff removed
officer pay increases and officer incentive compensation
payments from cost of service. However, in that docket,
the Commission approved both the officer pay increases
and officer incentive payments for inclusion in cost of
service because they were considered to be a part of a
total "reasonable compensation package." In Docket No.
2002-223-E, Order No. 2003-38, the Company's previous
case requesting rate relief for its electric operations,
officer's salary increases and officer's incentive
compensation payments were not addressed. The
Commission Staff did not propose an adjustment in that

- 1 case because total officer compensation, including
- incentive payments, decreased over the previous year.
- 3 Adjustment Number 33 The Staff proposes to remove
- 4 accrued litigation expenses that are not known and
- 5 measurable of \$1,023,675. The Utilities Department
- 6 provided the retail electric amount of \$983,000.
- 7 <u>Adjustment Number 34 -</u> The Staff is proposing an
- 8 adjustment to remove legal fees of \$87,884 associated
- 9 with the over-billing of Franchise Fees for certain
- 10 company customers. The over-billing was a Company
- 11 error. The Utilities Department provided the retail
- 12 electric amount of \$85,000.
- 13 Adjustment Number 35 The Staff is proposing an
- 14 adjustment to correct the per book income taxes for an
- 15 error made in the filing. Total Taxes per books were
- 16 \$106,083,571 less the per filing income tax amount of
- 17 \$101,707,000 for an adjustment of \$4,376,571. The
- 18 Utilities Department provided the retail electric amount
- 19 of \$4,193,000.
- 20 Q. MS. SCOTT, HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY OF MR. LABROS
- 21 E. PILALIS CONCERNING THE RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. BASED ON A 9.88% RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY IN MR.
- 2 PILALIS'S TESTIMONY, WHAT IS THE OVERALL RATE OF RETURN?
- 3 A. The overall Rate of Return is 8.22%.
- 4 Q. MS. SCOTT, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.